Crustal structure of the northwestern Basin and Range Province and its transition to unextended volcanic plateaus
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[1] The northwestern margin of the Basin and Range Province is characterized by a transition from low-magnitude (~20%) extension in northwestern Nevada to relatively unextended volcanic plateaus in northeastern California. Seismic-velocity and potential-field modeling provides new control on the Mesozoic-to-present tectonic evolution of this poorly understood portion of the U.S. Cordillera. We document ~20% crustal thinning associated with Basin and Range extension from a crustal thickness of ~37 km under northeastern California to ~31 km under northwestern Nevada that is consistent with the amount of extension recorded in the upper crust in northwestern Nevada, suggesting the crustal response to extension was relatively homogeneous over the entire crustal column. Our modeling also shows a well-defined, 80-km-wide zone of unusually low upper-crustal velocities (~5.9–6.1 km/s) that coincide with the surface location of sparse Cretaceous granites, locating the elusive northern extension of the Sierra Nevada batholith through northwestern Nevada for the first time in the subsurface. Combining geological and geophysical data, we reconstruct the late Cretaceous-to-present crustal evolution of this region, documenting an interplay between magmatic addition to the crust, erosional exhumation, sedimentation, and extension that has reversed the direction of crustal thinning from a west-facing continental margin to an east-facing interior basin margin over this time interval. Finally, we find no evidence in northwestern Nevada for unusually thick crust (>40 km) prior to Basin and Range extension.
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1. Introduction

Despite extensive research over the last \(~\sim\)100 years in the northern Basin and Range Province (Figure 1) [e.g., Emmons, 1907], its northwestern margin remains little-studied. This region is characterized by a transition from Basin and Range extension in northwestern Nevada to high volcanic plateaus in northwesternmost Nevada, northeastern California, and southern Oregon. Geologic and thermochronologic studies document a later (\(\leq\)12 Ma), lower magnitude (\(\leq\)20\%) extensional history in northwestern Nevada [e.g., Colgan et al., 2004, 2006a] than in the central portion of the northern Basin and Range (\(\leq\)30 Ma and 50–100\%) [e.g., Gans et al., 1989; Best and Christiansen, 1991; Smith et al., 1991; Christiansen et al., 1992]. Despite minor upper-crustal extension across the northwestern margin of the Basin and Range, previous nearby geophysical surveys (COCORP 40\(^\circ\)N and 1986 PASSCAL surveys) suggested that crustal thicknesses in this region (\(\sim\)30–32 km) are as thin or thinner than the more highly extended region to the south (\(\sim\)30–36 km, Figure 1) [e.g., Klemperer et al., 1986; Catchings and Mooney, 1991]. West of this transition zone, Moho depth increases to 38–40 km beneath the Modoc Plateau in northern California (Figure 1) [e.g., Zucca et al., 1986; Mooney and Weaver, 1989]. Because no data exists across this transition zone between the unextended lava plateaus and the northwestern Basin and Range, the details of how the change in crustal thickness is accomplished are unknown.

Two likely explanations for the phenomenon of thin crust in a region of low upper-crustal extension are (1) that the crust in the northwestern corner of the Basin and Range was simply not as thick as that of central Nevada to begin with or (2) that strain has been heterogeneous with depth, allowing for significant lower-crustal thinning with only minor upper-crustal extension. Resolution of this question has important implications for the nature of how extensional deformation takes place in continental crust. In the central portion of the northern Basin and Range, differential strain between the upper and lower crust is thought to maintain homogeneous crustal thicknesses despite local variations in upper-crustal extension [e.g., Gans, 1988]. On what length scales can lower-crustal deformation decouple from upper-crustal deformation? If the northwestern margin of the Basin and Range possessed pre-extensional crustal thicknesses comparable to central Nevada (perhaps as much as \(\sim\)45–50 km [e.g., Smith et al., 1991]), then long-wavelength lower-crustal flow would have been necessary to produce the modern Moho depths.

To address the apparent discrepancy between the magnitude of upper-crustal extension in the northwestern Basin and Range with respect to its suspected thin crust, we collected a \(\sim\)300 km seismic refraction/reflection/teleseismic profile and a \(\sim\)260 km potential field profile across this portion of the Basin and Range, spanning the transition to the un Faulted crust of the Modoc Plateau (Figures 1 and 2). Our data document \(\sim\)20\% crustal thinning associated with Basin and Range extension and are consistent with the lower-magnitude extension (\(\leq\)25\%) recorded at the surface, suggesting that extensional strain has been approximately homogeneous with depth across this portion of the Basin and Range. In addition, we observe no evidence in our survey area for the distributed lower-crustal reflectivity commonly attributed to large-magnitude ductile strain in the central portion of the Basin and Range [e.g., Catchings, 1992]. Utilizing our data to reconstruct the evolution of the northwestern Basin and Range, we step backward through time, estimating the relative importance of various tectonic and magmatic events from Late Cretaceous to the present. Our simple reconstruction offers a significantly different image of crustal structure at \(\sim\)90 Ma, when the crustal thickness of the western end of our survey area was less than the eastern end, opposite to its present-day configuration, and the thickest crust was only equal to the global continental...
2. Regional Geology and Geophysics

The northwestern margin of the Basin and Range is characterized by limited exposures of all but the most recent volcanic units because it is affected by only a minor amount of surface faulting. East of the Sheldon Plateau (Figure 2), Paleozoic and Mesozoic sedimentary and volcanic rocks (described in more detail below) form the most deeply exhumed footwall portions of the tilted fault blocks. West of and including the Sheldon Plateau, no pre-Tertiary exposures are present along our transect, and the only Paleogene units are found in the Warner Range tilt block. Despite the seeming paucity of geologic constraints across this region, we have derived a constrained tectonic history that is consistent with both the geophysical and geological data.

2.1. Pre-40 Ma: East of the Black Rock Range

The eastern portion of the northwestern Basin and Range contains Paleozoic and Mesozoic rock units that record multiple episodes of convergence, and consist of highly deformed Cambrian-Mississippian basinal sequences of the Roberts Mountain allochthon, the Pennsylvanian-Permian sediments of the Antler Overlap Assemblage, and allochthonous upper Paleozoic deep-water sediments and Permian arc sequences of the Golconda allochthon [e.g., Stewart and Carlson, 1978; Miller et al., 1992; Wyld, 1992]. This late Paleozoic convergent history was followed by Triassic-early Jurassic extension, when thick (~6 km) siliciclastic and carbonate sedimentary sequences accumulated in a subsiding basin [Wyld, 2000]. Plutons that form the eastern part of the Sierra Nevada batholith intrude these rock units, and range in age from 196 to 102 Ma [Quinn et al., 1997]. In their regional study and synthesis of Mesozoic contact metamor-
phism, Barton et al. [1988] placed the eastern boundary of the region underlain by >50% Cretaceous batholithic material 50 km east of the Black Rock Range (Figure 2). The exposed plutons exhibit narrow contact aureoles, typically with andalusite developed [e.g., Compton, 1960], suggesting a relatively shallow emplacement level (5–10 km). Thermochronologic data document cooling of the batholith through the ~70°C isotherm (U-Th/He method, ~2–3 km depth) by ~60 Ma [Colgan et al., 2006b]. Continuity of these Paleozoic and Mesozoic rock units within and between ranges, and lack of exposure of deep-crustal levels, support the hypothesis of only limited extension and tilting (and thus exhumation) during Basin and Range faulting [Colgan et al., 2006b].

2.2. Pre-40 Ma: West of the Black Rock Range

The tectonic development of the region west of the Black Rock and Pine Forest Ranges is more difficult to assess because there are so few pre-Tertiary rocks exposed. Interpolating from the exposures of Mesozoic units both to the east (northwestern Nevada) and west (Klamath Mountains, northeastern California), multiple contractional, accretionary episodes in the Paleozoic and Mesozoic affected this region [e.g., Ernst, 1999; Wyld, 2002]. This convergent history appears to have ended by the late Cretaceous, because units of this age document the growth of an extensive marine basin. In northern California, the latest-Cretaceous Hornbrook Formation attains a thickness of ~1.5 km [Nilsen, 1993]. In southern Oregon, the Eocene Payee Cliffs Formation contains >2 km of predominantly subaerial sandstones and siltstones [McKnight, 1984]. This pattern of late Cretaceous-early Cenozoic sedimentation is echoed in the Warner Range (Figure 2), where ~1.5 km of cobble conglomerates, volcaniclastic sandstones, lacustrine sediments, and thin basaltic flows underlie ~34 Ma andesites [Duffield and
Although the volcanic flows at the base of this section are as of yet undated, the thickness and mixed composition of the section suggests an extended period of time was required for its accumulation. U-Pb zircon analyses on granitic cobbles from the base of the section were performed on the Stanford-USGS SHRIMP and provided crystallization ages of ~113 and ~171 Ma [Miller et al., 2005]. The ~171 Ma age is a non-unique value for granites in this portion of the U.S. Cordillera, with middle Jurassic plutons present to the east in northwestern Nevada [e.g., Wyld, 1996], to the west in northeastern California [e.g., Irwin, 2003], and to the south in the northern Sierra Nevada [e.g., Girty et al., 1995]. The Cretaceous granitic rocks (~113 Ma) are more diagnostic: they are absent from the Klamath Mountains [Irwin, 2003], but are common in both northeastern California and the northern Sierra Nevada [e.g., Irwin and Wooden, 2001; Colgan et al., 2006b], suggesting the granitic cobbles were sourced from either the south or east. Thus northeastern California (and presumably southern Oregon and northwesternmost Nevada) was a depocenter during the early Cenozoic and accumulated material derived from the east and/or south as the Sierra Nevada batholith became unroofed.

2.3. Eocene–Miocene Volcanism

Multiple volcanic episodes spanned the latest Eocene to middle Miocene across the northwestern margin of the Basin and Range. East of the Sheldon Plateau, sparse 38–35 Ma basalts and basaltic andesites represent the earliest Cenozoic volcanic products [Lerch et al., 2005a; Colgan et al., 2006a]. These units, deposited unconformably on the Paleozoic and Mesozoic granitic, sedimentary, and volcanic rocks described above, have relatively minor amounts of basal topography [e.g., Lerch et al., 2005a], documenting a subaerial and low-relief depositional environment in the latest Eocene. The ensuing volcanic stratigraphy is fairly straightforward: significant bimodal volcanism occurred in two discrete, conformable episodes (26–21 Ma and 16–14 Ma [e.g., Noble et al., 1979; Lerch et al., 2005a; Colgan et al., 2006a]), the latter being largely associated with the McDermitt caldera system and the inception of Columbia River Flood Basalt volcanism [e.g., Zoback and Thompson, 1978; Pierce and Morgan, 1992]. In total, the Cenozoic volcanic section east of the Sheldon Plateau is 1–2 km thick, and is primarily composed of basaltic and rhyolitic products from multiple volcanic episodes that occurred across this region.

Information about the thickness, timing, and composition of the Cenozoic volcanic stratigraphy is limited west of and on the Sheldon Plateau. The most recent volcanic products (usually middle Miocene and younger) are often the only units exposed due to the general absence of large-offset normal faults. In the Warner Range, however, late Eocene (~34 Ma) andesitic flows overlie a very thick early Tertiary sedimentary sequence [Duffield and McKee, 1986]. These Eocene-Oligocene andesites were followed by Oligocene-Miocene basalts and rhyolites [Duffield and McKee, 1986]. Together with interbedded sedimentary units, more than 3 km of material accumulated since ~34 Ma in what later became the Warner Range [Fosdick et al., 2005].

2.4. Neogene Extension in the Northern Basin and Range

Extensional faulting began in the northern Basin and Range in the Eocene, and has continued episodically up to the present day [e.g., Gans et al., 1989; Seedorff, 1991; Christiansen et al., 1992; Wernicke, 1992]. The modern topography of much of the northern Basin and Range formed during a period of large magnitude extension which peaked at about 20–15 Ma [e.g., Miller et al., 1999; Stockli, 1999; Surpless et al., 2002], and coincided with the eruption of the Columbia River flood basalts in Washington and Oregon, and the onset of bimodal basalt-rhyolite volcanism across much of the northern Basin and Range [e.g., McKee, 1971; Stewart and Carlson, 1978; Seedorff, 1991; Christiansen et al., 1992] associated with the Yellowstone hot spot, which is thought to have reached the base of the lithosphere at about 16 Ma beneath McDermitt, Nevada [e.g., Zoback and Thompson, 1978; Pierce and Morgan, 1992; Smith et al., 1993]. Some researchers have suggested that the thermal effects of this plume were responsible for widespread extension across the Basin and Range [e.g., Pierce and Morgan, 1992; Parsons et al., 1994].

Extension began significantly later across the northwesternmost margin of the Basin and Range. Apatite fission-track (AFT) and (U-Th)/He data collected from across northwestern Nevada indicate that extension along the modern range-bounding normal faults began around 12–10 Ma [Colgan et al., 2004, 2006b], later than the 16 Ma inception of volcanism attributed to the Yellowstone plume.
Geologic relationships suggest the 10 Ma - present extension represents the only significant faulting and tilting to affect the region in the Cenozoic [Colgan et al., 2006b]. Thus it appears that Yellowstone hot-spot-related magmatism was accompanied by little or no coeval extensional faulting in the northern Basin and Range province. This region must have behaved semi-independently from the central part of the Basin and Range province (~200 km SE), where significant extension by Basin and Range faulting occurred at 17–15 Ma [Stockli, 1999].

2.5. Geophysical Setting

Today, the Basin and Range province is characterized by high average heat flow (~90 mW/m²) and elevated geothermal gradients (~30–40°C/km), although there is considerable local variation within the province (Figure 1) [e.g., Morgan and Gosnold, 1989; Blackwell et al., 1991]. The elevated geothermal gradients implied by high regional heat flow are consistent with typical earthquake focal depths of 10–15 km, and presumably indicate the approximate thickness of the seismogenic crust and depth to the brittle-ductile transition zone.

As seen from regional gravity data, a pronounced isostatic gravity low (~25 mGal) characterizes the northwestern margin of the Basin, with its eastern edge corresponding to the eastern margin for the Sheldon Plateau (Figure 3). Possible tectonic explanations for the gravity low include: a crustal-scale structural boundary [Wyld and Wright, 2001], the granitic Cretaceous arc [Barton et al., 1988], Oligocene-Miocene rhyolitic centers [Noble et al., 1970; Plouff, 1985, 1997; Blakely and Jachens, 1991], or an early Tertiary sedimentary basin. The origin of this ~30 mGal anomaly in northwestern Nevada and northeastern California is discussed in greater detail below.

Figure 1 shows the location of previous seismic reflection and refraction profiles across the northern Basin and Range that provide data on crustal thickness and velocity structure across the region. The best data come from the COCORP (Consortium for Continental Reflection Profiling) 40°N deep seismic reflection profiles [e.g., Allmendinger et al., 1987; Klemperer et al., 1986] and the 1986 PASSCAL Basin and Range Lithospheric Seismic Experiment [e.g., Jarcho et al., 1993; Catchings and Mooney, 1991]. The COCORP and PASSCAL data are augmented by passive imaging of the eastern Basin and Range [Gilbert and Sheehan, 2004], refraction/reflection imaging along the Ruby Mountains [Stoerzel and Smithson, 1998], and a coarse refraction survey across western Nevada and eastern California [Louie et al., 2004]. The crust varies in thickness from 34 km in central Nevada to ~30 km at 40°N in northwestern Nevada and western Utah (Figure 1), and has been interpreted to be underlain by a high-velocity (V_p ~ 7.4 km/s) “rift pillow” [e.g., Catchings and Mooney, 1991] across much of northwestern Nevada. The reflection profiles show a relatively sharp Moho across the entire Basin and Range, with subhorizontal reflectivity in the middle and lower crust [e.g., Holbrook et al., 1991; Klemperer et al., 1986]. These features are now recognized as characteristic of extended terranes worldwide, and have led numerous workers to a new widely accepted model of continental extension in which spatially varying amounts of upper-crustal extension are accommodated by more uniform ductile flow and thinning in the middle and lower crust [e.g., Gans, 1988; Block and Royden, 1990; McKenzie et al., 2000].

3. Data Acquisition and Processing

3.1. Seismic Data

In September 2004, we completed a ~300 km active-source seismic survey as part of the Earthscope program and with funding from the Petroleum Research Foundation. Five in-line shots, and one off-line fan shot (shot sizes 1100 to 1800 kg) were recorded by ~1100 vertical seismometers (Figure 2). These data were augmented by two additional blasts at the Twin Creeks (in-line) and Florida Canyon (off-line) mines (TC and FC, Figure 2). These much larger shots (75 tons and 15 tons, respectively) were ripple-fired, producing long source signatures that make their recordings useful only for first-arriving energy. Approximately 35 km of vibrator-source data using the Network for Earthquake Engineering Simulation (NEES) Tri-Axial “T-Rex” vibrator (267 kN peak force, 60 s sweeps, 5–40 Hz) provide useful first-arrivals at near offsets (~25 km) but were too widely separated to allow creation of a stacked reflection profile. While the bulk of the recording was done by self-contained, single channel Reftek RT125s (Texans), we also used Reftek RT130 multi-channel digitizers with short-period (4.5 Hz) three-component sensors to provide an additional 114 channels. We relied largely on PASSCAL equipment provided within the framework of Earthscope, resulting in station spacing that was nominally 300 m, but
included a denser recording interval (100 m) over the central ~35 km of the line (western edge of the Black Rock Range to the Jackson Mountains, Figure 2). A ~10 km gap in the recording line occurs at the Summit Lake Indian Reservation just west of the Black Rock Range (Figure 2). Only sparse recorders (~5 km spacing) were deployed between Shotpoint 1 and the Twin Creeks Mine, because the latter represented a shot of opportunity that had not been guaranteed to take place during our recording windows. All data were pre-processed by PASSCAL and are archived at the IRIS Data Management Center (DMC). Our project also included a 7-month deployment of short-period passive instruments for teleseismic recording in the central portion of our profile [Gashawbeza et al., 2005], and a high-resolution shallow penetration Vibroseis reflection profile across Surprise Valley [Lerch et al., 2005b] (Figure 2). In this paper, we utilize only the vertical component data as S-wave energy from our controlled sources was disappointingly absent. The passive-source and reflection data are left as the subjects of separate studies [Gashawbeza et al., 2005; Lerch et al., 2005b].
The gathers from the in-line shots show clear first arrivals to offsets from 150 to 200 km (Figure 4, note that Shotpoint 2 failed and produced no useful data). Low surface velocities are evident on all gathers, with an extreme velocity pull-down present in Surprise Valley (see Shotpoint 4, Figure 4). The upper-crustal refracted phase ($P_{uc}$ $\sim$6 km/s) is observed on all gathers, and is the first arrival to greater offsets at the western end of the survey ($\sim$150 km for Shotpoint 5) than the eastern end ($\sim$100 km for Shotpoint 1). A clear lower-crustal refracted phase ($P_{lc}$) was recorded from Shotpoints 1, 3, and 4, but is obscured at the $P_n$ crossover distance from Shotpoint 5 (Figure 4). Refracted Moho arrivals ($P_n$) are visible on Shotpoints 1 and 5, as well as from the Twin Creeks mine blast (not shown). There are very few intra-crustal reflections visible in the data, of which the most convincing is a mid-crustal reflection ($P_{c}$) observed under the eastern end of the survey in the region of 100 m receiver spacing (Figures 4 and 5, Shotpoint 1).

Data processing consisted of established techniques: picking observed phases, forward modeling for first-order fit, and inversions for more complete

Figure 4. Shot gathers from Shotpoints 1, 3, 4, and 5. All gathers reduced at 7.0 km/s; velocity wheel in Shotpoint 3 gather shows slopes of arrivals of velocities from 4 to 8 km/s. Data processing described in text. MP, Modoc Plateau; SP, Sheldon Plateau; BRR, Black Rock Range. SV in SP4: Surprise Valley pull-down described in text.
traveltime agreement. Traveltime-modeling was followed by amplitude and gravity modeling to confirm the major features of the model. A band-pass filter (corner frequencies of 2, 4, 10, and 16 Hz) and a minimum phase predictive deconvolution (500 ms operator length, 50 ms prediction distance, 0.1% pre-whitening) were applied to all shot gathers. Pick uncertainties varied from 75–150 ms, depending on arrival clarity. The velocity model is constrained by 2192 picks (Table 1) from the in-line shot gathers (Figure 4), with an additional 515 picks from the vibrator-source (T-Rex) gathers that illuminate the surface velocities in the vicinity of the Black Rock and Pine Forest Ranges (Figure 2). In our forward modeling, we used Modeling (a GUI by Gou Fujie based on Colin Zelt’s RayInvr) to establish a starting model and obtain a reasonable fit to the data. Inversions were then computed with RayInvr [Zelt and Smith, 1992] by using a layer-stripping approach [Zelt et al., 1993] to arrive at a final model that adequately fits the observed arrivals (Figures 6 and 7). Six raygroups (P_{surface}, P_{uc}, P_{P}, P_{lc}, P_{mP}, and P_{n}) were used in the velocity modeling and included both primary and secondary arrivals.

3.2. Potential Field Data

We collected 84 new gravity stations (average spacing ~3 km) along the seismic line and compiled these with the existing regional coverage [Snyder et al., 1981; Ponce, 1997] to produce a grid from which we interpolated a profile for potential-field modeling. New gravity data were reduced using standard gravity methods [Blakely, 1995] that include: earth-tide, instrument drift, latitude, free-air, simple Bouguer, curvature, terrain, and isostatic corrections to yield the complete Bouguer (CBA) and isostatic anomalies. A straight-line two-dimensional profile of the CBA that coincides with the seismic profile and is roughly perpendicular to the strike of geologic structure was extracted from the gravity grid (dotted line, Figure 2) and forward modeled [Talwani et al., 1959; Blakely and Connard, 1989] using GMSYS software. Velocity-to-density mapping consisted of converting P-wave velocities to densities by using the [Brocher, 2005] modification of the Nafe-Drake relationship [Ludwig et al., 1970] that seeks a best average velocity-to-density conversion applicable to a broad range of common rock types.

At the regional scale, the profile is characterized by three distinct gravity domains (Figure 8):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Picks (Used/Made)</th>
<th>RMS Residual, sec</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P_{surface}</td>
<td>39/62</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>1.953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{upper crust}</td>
<td>1515/1522</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>1.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{P}</td>
<td>14/14</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{lower crust}</td>
<td>202/215</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{mP}</td>
<td>115/119</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{n}</td>
<td>258/260</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2142/2192</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>1.181</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
two relatively equal Bouguer highs (\(-160 \text{ mGal}\)) at either end of the profile and a broad central negative anomaly (\(-190 \text{ mGal}\)) that is separated from the western and eastern highs by short-wave-length gradients. Included in Figure 8 is the Bouguer anomaly (\(-70 \text{ mGal}\), see dotted line) arising from the velocity-derived Moho topography assuming a \(\Delta \rho = 0.4 \text{ g/cm}^3\) increase across the Moho. This eastward-increasing variation is counteracted by the laterally varying velocity and density structure of the crust which has higher values at the western end of the survey than to the east. Densities calculated from our seismic model (discretized at 0.2 km/s velocity intervals) provide a reasonable fit between observed

**Figure 6.** Reduced shot gather from Shotpoint 1 (LMO = 7.0 km/s). Phase picks (colored vertical bars show assigned uncertainties) and modeled arrivals (black lines) are superimposed on data. Dashed black line from offsets of 75–130 km represents modeled P\(_{uc}\) arrivals produced by increasing upper-crustal velocities near the Black Rock Range by 0.2 km/s (see text for discussion). Phase color scheme: magenta (P\(_{surface}\)), red (P\(_{upper\ crust}\)), green (P\(_P\)), orange (P\(_{lower\ crust}\)), yellow (P\(_nP\)), and blue (P\(_n\)).

**Figure 7.** Final velocity model. Velocities displayed in km/s, contoured every 0.2 km/s (heavy contours every 1.0 km/s). Geographical features labeled (MP, Modoc Plateau; SV, Surprise Valley; SP, Sheldon Plateau; BRR, Black Rock Range; JM, Jackson Mountains; DHM, Double H Mountains). Shotpoints (SP) 1–5 and Twin Creeks (TC) mine blast are labeled. V.E. 3:1.
and predicted data (long dashed line, Figure 8). This gravity signature is broadly matched by our velocity-density conversion, showing all three major trends, and relatively sharp gradients on either side of the central negative anomaly.

A modified density model provides an improved fit to the observed values, and was produced by reducing density values (never by more than 1.5%, or 0.04 g/cm$^3$) while maintaining the geometry of the density structure exactly as constrained by the velocity model (Figure 8). This modified density model more accurately matches both the sharp gradient on the eastern edge of the Sheldon Plateau, and the gravity signal near the Jackson Mountains. In producing this modified density model, we changed a minimum number of values, seeking only a first-order fit to the data. Despite the broad agreement between our modeled and observed densities, persistent misfit of the short-wavelength signals (<20 km) between the observed and modeled gravity are seen in both the Warner Range and Double H Mountains (Figure 8). At the eastern end of the gravity profile, a ~30 mGal positive anomaly that is not accounted for by the model occurs over the Double H Mountains. Inspection of the 2-D gravity profile location (Figure 2) explains much of this incompatibility: the gravity profile crosses the southern end of the Double H Mountains, whereas the seismic data is confined to the basin at the southern end of the range. Focused mafic dikes of the westernmost arm of the northern Nevada rift trend SSE through the Double H Mountains (Figure 3), accounting for an additional ~5–8 mGal [Zoback et al., 1994] of the positive anomaly in this portion of the model.
To the west in the Warner Range, a ~12 mGal positive disparity is centered on the western edge of the range, and marks the southern end of a spatially limited and poorly defined (poor data coverage) gravity high (Figure 3b) associated with Tertiary intrusive rocks [Chapman and Bishop, 1968]. We interpret this disagreement between the observed and modeled data sets to be related to a mismatch in survey locations, as the seismometers on either side of this gravity high were positioned south of the anomaly, meaning that many of the raypaths traveling to these stations failed to sample the source body.

Several additional models (not shown here [Glen et al., 2005]) were developed (independent of the seismic velocity structure and velocity-derived densities) through simultaneous forward modeling of gravity and magnetic data to match the calculated anomalies with observed anomalies while taking into account constraints imposed by surface geology, rock property data, and geophysical data. Density and magnetic properties of bodies were adjusted iteratively to match observed and calculated gravity and magnetic profiles. These models confirm the fundamental results presented here: that the steepest seismic velocity gradients occur at shallow to mid-crustal levels at the margins of the anomalous gravity low.

4. Crustal Structure and Average Velocities and Densities

4.1. Crustal Thickness and Reflectivity

Crustal thickness is constrained both by $P_n$ and $P_s$ arrivals in the seismic data, and varies from ~38 km under the western, unextended end of the survey, to ~32 km to the east (Figure 7). These Moho depths agree with earlier studies from northwestern Nevada (COCORP 40°N and 1986 PASSCAL) and northeastern California (1981 USGS), confirming the change in crustal thickness across the western margin of the Basin and Range province. $P_n$ and $P_s$ arrivals are most coherent under the eastern portion of the line (see Figure 5, right panel) where we deployed instruments at 100 m spacing, yet present a contrasting image of crustal reflectivity when compared to previous surveys from more highly extended portions of the Basin and Range. In particular, distributed lower-crustal reflectivity is missing from the crustal column under northwesternmost Nevada. Because our Shotpoint 2 (Figure 2) failed, we recorded no near-offset explosion data with our most densely spaced receivers, obviating an absolute comparison of reflectivity between our survey and the remarkable middle- and lower-crustal reflectivity observed in the 1986 PASSCAL survey [Catchings and Mooney, 1991]. A comparison of relative amplitude against time for our data (SP1) and for the 1986 PASSCAL experiment (their SP4) [Catchings and Mooney, 1991, Figure 11a] is shown in Figure 9. At near-offsets (10–15 km), the relative amplitude (a proxy for signal-to-noise ratio) in both our data and in the 1986 PASSCAL survey show continuing amplitude decay to ~10 s, which is the standard test for signal propagation to depths equivalent to those traveltimes. Thus absence of evidence for reflectivity is, in this case, evidence of absence.

4.2. Near-Surface Velocities and Densities

Velocities and densities within ~2 km of the surface are particularly low ($V_p \leq 3$ km/s, $\rho \leq 2.3$ g/cm$^3$) between the Black Rock Range and Surprise Valley (Figures 7 and 8), corresponding to the topographically high Sheldon Plateau (Figure 2), despite the fact that outcrops are dominated by basalts and welded ash-flow tuffs. Large-offset normal faults are absent on the Sheldon Plateau, leaving only the youngest volcanic rocks visible at the surface. Insight into the subsurface lithologies across this region comes from large tilted fault blocks on its eastern and western margins that expose deeper structural levels. To the east, the Black Rock Range (Figure 2) is a gently dipping horst block that preserves a remarkably complete section of Tertiary volcanic rocks [Lerch et al., 2005a]. In the ~1 km volcanic section of the northern Black Rock Range, roughly 60–70% is composed of slope-forming, unwelded volcanic units. On the western margin of the Sheldon Plateau, the Surprise Valley fault exposes nearly 2 km of Tertiary sedimentary and volcanioclastic deposits [Duffield and McKee, 1986; Carmichael et al., 2006]. Hence, although basalts and variably welded ash-flow tuffs dominate the surface of the Sheldon Plateau, the bulk of the underlying Tertiary section is inferred to consist of sedimentary and unwelded silicic volcanic deposits (Figure 7) and is likely responsible for much of the gravity low across this region (km 85 to 155 in Figure 8).

4.3. Upper-Crustal Velocities and Densities and the Sierra Nevada Batholith

Upper-crustal velocities are laterally heterogeneous across the study area, with the most pronounced anomaly present in the central portion of
our profile near the Black Rock Range and Jackson
Mountains (Figure 7). Iso-velocity contours in this
part of the model dip steeply inward from the west
and east, defining a 15 km-thick region of low
velocities ($V_p \sim 5.8–6.1 \text{ km/s}$) that occurs beneath
exposed Jurassic and Cretaceous granitic rocks that
have been interpreted to mark the trace of the
Sierran batholith as it passes through northwestern
Nevada (Figure 2) [Barton et al., 1988]. It is worth
noting that eastern edge of our low-velocity depres-
sion agrees reasonably well with the estimates from
Barton et al. [1988] (Figure 2). We attribute the
discrepancy on the western edge to the absence of
surface exposures of pre-Tertiary rocks available to
Barton et al. [1988] when they constructed their
boundaries (Figure 2). Our low-velocity depression is
robustly imaged: an increase in $V_p$ of 0.2 km/s,
still not enough to make this region have globally
average upper-crustal velocities, would predict far
earlier traveltimes than the error bounds of our
interpretations (Figure 6). This low-velocity upper
crust spans $\sim$100 km (Figure 7), equivalent to the
surface outcrop of the Sierra Nevada batholith in
central California, and shows even lower seismic
velocities than the anomalously low-velocity crust
in the southern Sierra Nevada (Figure 10) [Fliedner
et al., 2000]. This region near the Black Rock and
Pine Forest Ranges also presents the greatest
discrepancy in our gravity modeling (Figure 8),
and a better fit to the gravity data was obtained by
using densities slightly lower than predicted by the
[Brocher, 2005] approximation. Densities pre-
dicted from $V_p$ using the Nafe-Drake or Brocher
conversions which average all rock types are
expected to be systematically high ($\sim$0.06 g/cm$^3$
or $\sim$2%) for granitic-granodioritic lithologies
[Christensen and Mooney, 1995], strengthening the
case for granitic material in this region. Non-granitic
velocities ($\geq 6.4 \text{ km/s}$) below the mid-crust in our
study area indicate that either the lower crust has
been substantially altered by Cenozoic magmatism,
or that Cretaceous arc magmatism in this portion of
the Cordillera was lower in magnitude than in the
southern Sierra Nevada. While the absence of reli-
able seismic velocity information anywhere between
our survey and the southern Sierra Nevada makes it
difficult to draw an absolute correlation between
these two data points $\sim$500 km apart, we conclude
on the basis of the available geologic and geophys-
ical information that we have better described the
location and extent of the Cretaceous arc in a region

**Figure 9.** Amplitude comparison between our survey and the 1986 PASSCAL Basin and Range experiment. Each
amplitude-decay curve was produced by taking the Hilbert transform of the original data, summing over adjacent
traces at source receiver offsets from 10–15 km, and then plotting the amplitude as a function of time.
where its location had formerly been incompletely understood.

4.4. Lower-Crustal Velocities

Lower-crustal velocities increase from east to west across the northwestern Basin and Range transition zone, from 6.4 to 6.75 km/s under the eastern end of the survey, to 6.5 to 6.85 km/s under the Modoc Plateau (Figure 7). This increase in lower-crustal velocities (and presumably densities) counteracts the reduced gravity contribution from the thickened crust to the west, helping maintain the relatively uniform Bouguer anomaly measured at the eastern and western ends of the survey (Figure 8). Of note here is the absence in our interpretation of a discrete lower-crustal “7.x” km/s layer, commonly attributed to the presence of magmatic underplating [e.g., Catchings, 1992] and perhaps related to a zone of enhanced near-vertical reflectivity at the base of the crust [Klemperer et al., 1986]. Independent modeling of the 1986 PASSCAL survey (~130 km south of our survey, Figure 1) produced two separate interpretations of the velocity structure of western Nevada, one with and one without a lower-crustal 7.x layer (Catchings and Mooney [1991] and Holbrook [1990], respectively). Catchings and Mooney [1991] interpreted westward-thickening of the 7.x layer along the NW-SE PASSCAL transect, from ~1 km in central Nevada, to ~7 km in northwestern Nevada. In his interpretation paper on the COCORP and PASSCAL surveys, Catchings [1992] suggested the 7.x velocities in western Nevada correspond to the addition of mantle-derived melts to the base of the crust during Basin and Range rifting. Because we have not worked directly with the 1986 PASSCAL data set, we do not comment on the validity of the 7.x layer in western Nevada, but we do note that the Catchings [1992] interpretation of large magnitude mafic underplating in western Nevada in response to continental rifting is surprising due to the relatively minor amount of upper-crustal extension in this region (~50% [Colgan et al., 2006b]) when compared to the more highly extended central part of Nevada (>50% extension [Smith et al., 1991]). Although our traveltime modeling requires no high-velocity underplate in our study area, inevitably there is some uncertainty because of the finite-frequency of our seismic waves which have wavelengths of up to 1.5 km in the lower crust. Amplitude modeling of $P_mP$ reflections suggests the Moho may be gradational over 1 to 2 km, possibly caused by extremely mafic cumulates, or by interlayered mafic and ultramafic materials at the base of the crust (Figure 11). Upon comparing synthetic seismograms (Figure 11) with the observed data (Figure 4), it appears that a somewhat gradational Moho may more accurately reproduce the observed $P_mP$ phase on Shotpoint 1, which we can only trace to offsets of <200 km (arrivals “D”, Figure 11). Adding a strongly gradational Moho, however, introduces a reflection (arrival “C”, Figure 11) that is clearly intermediate between $P_P$ and $P_mP$, and is not seen in the data set (Figures 4 and 5). We conclude that the observed absence of both a 7.x refracted phase and a reflection from the top of this
interface, dictates that if a high-velocity mafic under-plate exists under our survey area, it is minor in magnitude (<3 km). Thus, although the extensional and magmatic histories surveyed by 1986 PASSCAL and our experiment are comparable, the addition of a mafic underplate may not have been uniform.

4.5. $P_n$ Velocities

$P_n$ velocities increase from east (~7.95 km/s) to west (~8.05 km/s) across our survey, possibly corresponding to elevated lithospheric mantle temperatures under the Basin and Range. A 0.1 km/s increase in velocity is about double that expected due to the ~5 km increase in Moho depth (and corresponding increase in pressure) and may indicate a decrease in temperature of ~100°C. This variation in $P_n$ agrees qualitatively with recent work in our study area that constrains depth to the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary at ~60 km in northwestern Nevada (X. Li et al., The lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary beneath the western United States, submitted to Geophysical Journal International, 2007) and ~70 km in northeastern California. Our $P_n$ velocities are slightly below the global average [e.g., Christensen and Mooney, 1995] and fit well with other seismic experiments from across the northern Basin and Range [Catchings and Mooney, 1991], consistent with above-average heat flow and below-average crustal thickness of this province (Figure 1). The homogeneity of Basin and Range Moho velocities suggests that composition and state of the lithospheric mantle is moderately uniform despite local heterogeneities in surface heat flow [e.g., Blackwell et al., 1991] and magmatism [e.g., Best et al., 1989].

5. Tectonic Implications

Together with the geologic history preserved at the surface, our geophysical data have broad implications for the tectonic development of the northwestern Basin and Range and its transition to unextended crust in northeastern California and southeastern Oregon. Working backward in time from the most recent tectonic activity, we reconstruct the major tectonic and magmatic events, and provide an interpretation of the crustal evolution of our study area from the late Cretaceous through the Cenozoic (Figure 12).

5.1. Tectonic Reconstruction at 13 Ma

As described above (Regional Geology and Geophysics), Basin and Range extension in north-
western Nevada and northeastern California began at \(12\text{--}10\) Ma, and has accommodated a stretching total of \(20\text{--}25\%\) east of the Sheldon Plateau, with \(0\text{--}5\%\) extension west of the Black Rock and Pine Forest Ranges (Figure 2). The magnitude of upper-crustal extension recorded at the surface is roughly consistent with the degree of eastward crustal thinning across this transition zone, and suggests the response to Basin and Range extension has been relatively uniform over the entire crustal column. This relationship is straightforward when compared to other portions of the northern Basin and Range, where the heterogeneous nature of upper crustal deformation contrasts with the homogeneous strain observed in the lower crust [e.g., Gans, 1988]. This inferred decoupling between upper and lower crustal deformation, considered responsible for the absence of high-amplitude Moho relief [e.g., Klemperer et al., 1986] despite large local variations in upper-crustal extension, is not required in our survey area. By restoring extension across the survey region, the lateral change in crustal thickness is effectively removed and provides an estimated Moho depth of \(38 \pm 1\) km (Figure 12b) prior to the inception of Basin and Range faulting.

5.2. Tectonic Reconstruction at 35 Ma

Prior to extension, the northwestern Basin and Range witnessed long-lived, voluminous magmatism [Noble et al., 1970; Lerch et al., 2005a; Colgan et al., 2006a]. The Black Rock, Pine Forest, and Warner Ranges (Figure 2) record basaltic through rhyolitic volcanism that dominated the landscape from the late Eocene to the Pliocene. As described above (Regional Geology and Geochemistry), \(2\text{--}3\) km of volcanic cover accumulated in the vicinity of the Warner Range, with slightly less \((1\text{--}2\) km) deposited to the east near the Black Rock and Pine Forest Ranges. Because the volcanic products represent an interplay between magmatic addition to the crust and assimilation (partial-melting) of the country rock, we cannot simply remove the volcanic cover to arrive at an estimate of pre-volcanic crustal thickness. Volcanism across this region varied greatly in composition, and occurred in three main episodes that provided \(1\text{--}3\) km of volcanic cover since the late Eocene. Because isotope geochemistry has not been carefully studied for most of the Cenozoic volcanic units, estimating the ratio of crustal magmatic addition to erupted material is difficult. Through our velocity and amplitude modeling, we find only marginal evidence for mafic underplating \((V_p \sim 7.4\) km/s, thickness \(\sim 1\pm 1\) km) at the base of the crust (Figure 11), leaving no straightforward way to directly measure the amount of magmatic addition. Previous attempts to relate the degree of assimilation (crustal melting) to recharge (magmatic addition) have been made for the Basin and Range province [e.g., Perry et al., 1993], but have not addressed our study area specifically. Although calculated assimilation/
recharge (a/r) ratios in the Basin and Range province vary over two orders of magnitude for different episodes of magmatism and different igneous compositions, observed patterns in magmatic characteristics narrow the likely range of this ratio. By simply taking an average of values through time, Perry et al. [1993] note that late Oligocene rhyolites have an a/r ranging from 0.75–3 (for simplicity we use the average of these two values, 1.9), whereas Miocene-Pliocene rhyolites display a/r ≤ 0.5. In the case of the northwestern Basin and Range transition zone, we observe ≤ 1 km of Oligocene rhyolitic material, and ≤ 1 km of Miocene rhyolitic material [e.g., Noble et al., 1970; Duffield and McKee, 1986; Colgan et al., 2006a], providing an upper limit for the mantle contribution to these flows of ~1.0 km. This estimate does not account for mafic material added to the crust that cooled at depth, an inherently difficult quantity to constrain. In their tectonic and magmatic reconstruction of the eastern Basin and Range, Gans et al. [1989] assume that an amount of magma equal to or larger than the observed volcanic material intruded the crust but was not erupted. Recognizing the uncertainty in this step in our reconstruction, we estimate ~2 ± 1 km of material may have been added to the crust related to the production of rhyolitic volcanism. The local thickness of basaltic products is generally no greater than 1 km, and in many places is significantly less (here we assume an average thickness of 0.5 km). Assimilation/recharge ratios for basalts are commonly lower than for rhyolites (a/r ≤ 0.3 [e.g., DePaolo, 1985; Stewart and DePaolo, 1990], providing a maximum estimate of the basalt-producing magmatic addition of ~0.4 km. Combining the upper estimates of magmatic addition since Eocene time, and applying a conservative uncertainty to our calculation, we arrive at a total of 2.4 ± 2 km. Because the uncertainty introduced in this phase of our reconstruction is uncorrelated with that from the restoration of Basin and Range extension, we take the RMS of the two steps thus far (restoring Basin and Range extension and removing latest Eocene to Miocene magmatism), reaching a total uncertainty of ±2.25 km (Figure 12c).

[31] In the modern crustal section, we infer the existence of Sierra Nevadan arc beneath the eastern Sheldon Plateau, Black Rock Range, and Jackson Mountains, marked by a low-velocity upper crust. Prior to Cenozoic Basin and Range magmatism, unmodified arc material may have extended through the entire crust (Figure 12c), providing a crustal column more similar to that observed in the southern Sierra Nevada [Fliedner et al., 2000].

5.3. Tectonic Reconstruction at 90 Ma

[12] The tectonic histories of the eastern and western portions of our study area differed sharply during the late Cretaceous to middle Cenozoic. As described in more detail above (Regional Geology and Geophysics), upper crustal (depth ≤ 5 km) granitic, metasedimentary, and metavolcanic lithologies [Compton, 1960] east of the Sheldon Plateau were exhumed from ~90–60 Ma, and this region was characterized by subdued topography and minor sedimentation when volcanism began in the late Eocene [Lerch et al., 2005a; Colgan et al., 2006a]. To the west in the Warner Range, late Eocene andesites overlies a thick (>1.5 km) subaerial to submarine sedimentary section [Duffield and McKee, 1986; Miller et al., 2005]. Granitic cobbles from a coarse conglomerate near the base of the Warner Range section yielded U-Pb zircon ages of ~113 and ~171 Ma [Miller et al., 2005], common granitic crystallization ages in northwestern Nevada [e.g., Wyld, 1992; Colgan et al., 2006b]. On the basis of these regional patterns, it is reasonable that much of the material removed from northwestern Nevada in the early Cenozoic was transported and deposited to the west in northern California and southern Oregon. By restoring the material associated with the unroofing of the upper crust batholithic rocks in northwestern Nevada (4 ± 3 km), and removing the sedimentation from northeastern California (2 ± 1 km), we arrive at a sketch of crustal thickness across this region that is markedly different from today (Figure 12d). Thus, at ~90 Ma, the present-day Basin and Range transition zone was instead a transition from standard crustal thickness of ~40 km (global average ~41 km [Christensen and Mooney, 1995]) in the east, to thin (~34 km) continental-margin type crust in the west. This estimated crustal thickness under the western end of our survey is between the global averages for rifts and extended crust (~37 and ~31 km, respectively [Christensen and Mooney], 1995), compatible with its depositional setting at late-Cretaceous to early-Tertiary time. Thus a westward-thinning continental margin at 90 Ma has evolved into an eastward-thinning rift shoulder at the present day. The transition between thicker and thinner crust occurred at the location of the then-waning Sierra Nevada magmatic arc, and it is possible that a deeper crustal root existed between thinner crust to both the west and east. However, because of the significant erosion...
recorded inboard of the arc at this time, we speculate that arc thickness was \(~40\) km, transitional between the continental interior to the east and the continental margin to the west, and that no continuous high barrier separated these regions.

6. Conclusions

[33] In addition to broadly confirming the crustal thickness and velocity structure of previous geophysical studies from neighboring regions, our combined seismic and potential field data constrain the crustal response to magmatism and extension, and the Cretaceous-to-present tectonic evolution of this region.

[34] Basin and Range extension in this region has spanned the late Miocene to present and appears to have been relatively homogeneous with depth: we observe no evidence that deformation of the lower crust was significantly different in magnitude than the upper-crustal extension recorded at the surface. This statement is supported by the absence of distributed lower-crustal reflectivity, commonly attributed to large-magnitude ductile deformation, and by crustal thinning that is proportional to the amount of upper-crustal extension (\(~20\%\), Figure 7). Because we interpret there to have been no significant material transport away from this region during Basin and Range extension (e.g., lower-crustal flow in excess of the transport by extension), reconstructing the pre-extensional history produces only moderately thick crust (\(~40\) km, on par with the global average for continental crust). Crustal thickness in northwestern Nevada, according to our reconstruction, appears to have never been as great as it is inferred to have been in the more highly extended portions of the Basin and Range where pre-extensional thicknesses are estimated to have been 45–55 km [e.g., Smith et al., 1991], and where large crustal thicknesses may have helped trigger extension.

[35] The widespread magmatism that has occurred in this region over the last \(~35\) Ma undoubtedly altered the crustal composition through the addition of mantle-derived melts, evidenced by the large amount of basaltic material erupted over this time interval. Despite this long-lived magmatic activity, there appears to be no discrete high-velocity (\(7.x\) km/s) zone in the lower crust equivalent to that claimed by Catchings and Mooney [1991] in the 1986 PASSCAL survey in northwestern Nevada (\(~130\) km south of our experiment). We do not believe the lack of a lower-crustal \(7.x\) layer in our study area necessarily contradicts the Catchings and Mooney [1991] interpretation of the 1986 PASSCAL survey for two reasons: (1) the degree of lower-crustal extension under the northwestern end of the 1986 PASSCAL line is interpreted to be significantly greater on the basis of the presence of distributed lower-crustal reflectivity and may have enhanced the development of a \(7.x\) layer in the PASSCAL survey area, and (2) our amplitude modeling indicates a gradient of high velocities near the Moho that may be produced by distributed mafic material.

[36] Our data document the location of the Cretaceous arc as it trends northeastward from the northern Sierra Nevada to southern Idaho, consistent with the surface exposures of Cretaceous granitic rocks (Figure 2) [Barton et al., 1988]. The 1-D velocity profile of the low upper-crustal velocities near the Black Rock Range and Black Rock Desert is similar to that obtained from the southern Sierra Nevada to mid-crustal depths (Figure 10), and our gravity modeling supports the presence of granitic material by having a higher \(V_p/\rho\) ratio than the average of all basement lithologies [Brocher, 2005].

[37] Our estimated crustal thicknesses for the eastern and western ends of our survey at 90 Ma fit well with the late Cretaceous tectonic setting of this region. The thin crust (\(~34\) km) under what is now northeastern California allowed for the accumulation of a thick late-Cretaceous to early-Tertiary sedimentary section. This sedimentary material appears to have been derived from northern Sierra Nevada arc and the relatively thicker crust (\(~40\) km) to the east that underwent regional exhumation and unroofing in the late Cretaceous [Miller et al., 2005; Colgan et al., 2006a, 2006b].
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